

Ashby ‘town’ conservation area: Character appraisal and boundary review

Summary of public consultation responses

Appendix 1

Consultee	Consultee’s response	NWLDC officer comments
Ashby Town Council	<p>Area 2: The Town Council “suggested” that 1 and 3 Hill Street should not be removed from the conservation area, because it contains “two of the oldest cottages in the town”</p> <p>Trees: The Town Council sought assurance “that any tree removed from the conservation area” would be “assessed as to whether a TPO should be placed on it”.</p>	<p>Accepted. It is not proposed to remove 1 and 3 Hill Street from the conservation area. Paragraph 2.22.11ff of the revised character appraisal refers to the ‘Hill Street’ character zone.</p> <p>Accepted. It is not proposed to remove 1 and 3 Hill Street or ‘Trentham Close’ from the conservation area. The boundary review concludes that trees at Lockton House and Top Garden “contribute positively to public amenity and should be subject to a tree preservation order (TPO)”. A tree outside ‘Shenanna’ is a street tree and therefore not appropriate for a TPO.</p>
<p>Ashby Town Council</p> <p>Member of the public</p>	<p>Area 7: The Town Council “suggested” that 11 to 27 Derby Road should not be removed from the conservation area, because it did not “understand the rationale” for doing so.</p> <p>Area 7: Objected to the removal of 11 to 27 Derby Road from the conservation area. Described the terraced houses as “properties of age” (said that the properties are “charming” and “add considerably” to the town’s character).</p>	<p>Not accepted. The properties do not contribute to an area of special interest, for the reasons outlined in paragraphs (b) and (k) of the boundary review. Like ‘Shrubbery Terrace’ the properties are early Victorian terraced houses (they are not ‘properties of age’). Unlike ‘Shrubbery Terrace’ they are not high status properties. Their character has been affected adversely by the addition of render and concrete tile.</p>

Ashby Civic Society	<p>Subdivision: The Civic Society supported “splitting the original conservation area into three” (the society said that this proposal “makes sense”).</p> <p>Library and Museum: The society proposed that the library and museum should be added to the conservation area.</p> <p>Legibility: The society referred to the difficulty of viewing the documents “on screen”. The society also requested a map “which makes clear what the new areas are”.</p>	<p>Noted.</p> <p>Not accepted. The library would be remote from the designated boundary following the removal of properties on the south side of North Street. The library is a local heritage asset.</p> <p>Accepted. The character appraisal has been separated into a historic development report and a character appraisal, in part to reduce the length of the character appraisal and thus aid legibility. The revised boundary review includes a map to illustrate the ‘Castle’, ‘Spa’ and ‘Town’ conservation areas.</p>
Ashby School	<p>Area 13: The school offered “no objections to the changes proposed in relation to Lockton House”.</p>	<p>Noted.</p>
Householder Area 12	<p>Area 12: The householder offered “no objection to being removed from the conservation area”.</p>	<p>Noted.</p>
Member of the public	<p>Area 3: Objected to the removal of 6 to 14 Hill Street from the conservation area. Said that the removal of this area would “damage the ambience of Hill Street” (described the “eclectic mix of houses along Hill Street” as “delightful”).</p>	<p>Not accepted. The properties do not contribute to an area of special interest, for the reasons outlined in paragraphs (b) and (g) of the boundary review.</p>